# ACCURACY ASSESSMENT OF MDL LASER ACE<sup>®</sup>300 FOR POINT POSITIONING AND 3D MODELING IN FORESTRY APPLICATION

Jasmee, JAAFAR<sup>1</sup>, Juazer Rizal, ABDUL HAMID<sup>2</sup>, Roslina, IDRIS<sup>3</sup>, Azman Ali, M. HABIB<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Surveying Sc. & Geomatics, Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450, Shah Alam, Malaysia

<sup>1</sup>jasmee@salam.uitm.edu.my,<sup>2</sup>juazer@salam.uitm.edu.my

<sup>3,4</sup>Postgradute, Department of Surveying Sc. & Geomatics Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>jaslina01@yahoo.com,<sup>4</sup>the\_engineer@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

The process of automation towards gathering of tree inventory and the creation of a 3D model for forestry applications are highly demanded. In the present study, a MDL LaserAce®300 was the main equipment used in acquiring three dimensional (3D) surface data of points and tree feature in a forested environment. The results from the field observations conducted, was assessed based on the accuracy attained of the horizontal positions and the constructed 3D model of the forest floor. The planimetric assessment will be based on the standard traversing and tacheometric surveying data sets respectively. In the tree inventory exercise, tree positions are gathered from a known coordinated point. The points are part of a traverse survey station. In this study, the accuracy of the traverse points is evaluated based on the coordinates of eight survey stations forming a closed traverse. The survey stations coordinates are established using MDL LaserAce®300 and a total station (Topcon GTS 235N) respectively. The coordinate for the first survey station was assumed to be N1000, E1000 and the respective coordinates forming the traverse were then computed. The linear misclosure (accuracy) for the traverses are 1: 107 and 1:55017 for MDL LaserAce®300 and total station respectively. On the other hand, the RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) for the computed coordinates established using MDL LaserAce®300 and total station is found to be 1.131 m. With this accuracy, traversing using MDL LaserAce®300 is obviously adequate for forestry application. For the vertical accuracy assessment, an area of approximately 200 x 200 meter within a forest reserve compartment with varying topography of 95 to 102 m above MSL (Mean Sea Level) was chosen. Two sets of DEMs (Digital Elevation Models) were constructed using 235 and 250 points gathered using MDL LaserAce®300 and total station respectively. The targeted 235 points using MDL LaserAce®300 was directed at the middle of a tree trunk at approximately 1.5 m above ground level, which represent the position of observation during the tree inventory exercise. For the observed 250 points using total station, the points are represented by the position of the prism pole, randomly placed to capture the surrounding topography of the study area. In this study, it was found that the accuracy of the constructed MDL LaserAce®300 DEM is ±1.95 m. With these accuracies (planimetric and constructed DEM) obtained, the usage of the MDL LaserAce®300 to support various forest mapping activities such as gathering of tree inventory data, and the construction of the 3D model is justifiable.

Keywords: Measuring Laser, Tree Inventory, 3D model

### INTRODUCTION

The rainforest is the world's greatest natural resource and needs to be preserved and managed sustainably. Malaysia, being a tropical rainforest the conservation programme is understood as being part of the activities under the jurisdiction of the Forestry Department, and one of their challenging tasks is to obtain a tree inventory data on selected trees, such as the trees' geo-location with respect to a local reference coordinate system, tree height, diameter breast height (dbh), species type and other associated tree attributes for the development of the Geographic Information System (GIS) database. The process is tedious, time consuming, costly and labour intensive. The identification of individual trees plus tree count is one of the important agendas for inputs to the GIS database.

No doubt, with the advent of GPS (Global Positioning System), positioning of Earth's surface features could be carried out with ease; however, in a forested environment, the effect of tree canopy cover will usually result in blockage of the satellite signal and certainly, would give some restrictions for a good observational record, which ultimately could degrade the accuracy of the position obtained. Furthermore, the height component obtained from handheld GPS is of low accuracy to support the creation of a reliable 3D model. The normal surveying method of gathering tree inventory variables, which involved sophisticated surveying equipments such as the total station and other surveying accessories need trained personnel to execute the task. With the advent of handheld laser equipments such as the MDL LaserAce®300, acquisition of tree position using this equipment seems appealing. MDL LaserAce®300 is equipped with a fluxgate compass that could determine the direction of a sight with respect to the magnetic North. Horizontal and slope distance between the observer and target could also be measured with ease using the MDL LaserAce®300. With this information, spatial information such as, positions of respective points and difference in height between observed and targeted points can be computed using the direction and height information obtained from the MDL LaserAce®300.

Tree counting using laser scanning technology and remotely sensed data sets is an active research agenda. However, inside a forested area, especially in a tropical forest setting consisting of trees of different tree species, the task is quite daunting and cumbersome. Although utilizing techniques that involved the integration of airborne remotely sensed datasets, such as the utilization of hyperspectral and LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) data sets could be giving reliable information of the tree attributes that could aid towards creating accurate GIS database of the tree inventory, for instance [1], they may not be so successful in the determination of tree species, dbh and height. This is due to the diversity of tree species in a heterogeneous environment which complicate the reflectance response and ultimately do not facilitate the tree identification process via image-based processing analysis techniques [2]. Hence, the success of identifying tree species using remotely sensed data sets is debatable. On the other hand, the construction of a Digital Surface Model (DSM), which depicts the surface and above-surface features for various forest applications, is highly demanded. By having surface models, the tree height component may be estimated accordingly. Laser scanning technology or commonly known as LiDAR seems to be the-state-of-the-art approached in the creation of the digital model, for instance [3]. The construction of Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the forest floor in a rainforest environment using LiDAR is not an easy or straightforward task since one needs adequate and reliable samples of point-cloud LiDAR data that actually hit the ground or the forest floor. This was made difficult by the obstruction of the canopy cover against the travelling laser pulse signals, the acquisition of these data sets by no means, is cheap and easy. Thus, the development of tree inventory via field-based survey measurement of the tree parameters remains to be ever important for foresters in their daily routine activities.

The acquisition of tree inventory parameters by field-based survey methods is the common practice in Malaysia. The practice, however has some drawbacks, which include the need of more manpower and trained personnel. However, lack of control points, difficulties in determining line of sight coupled with rugged terrain conditions are among the obstacles often faced by foresters and land surveyors.

Among other, drawbacks include, acquisition of the information using conventional surveying techniques needs trained personnel, incurred high cost and time consuming. Field's automation in a tree inventory process seems to be the solution in need to speed up the process of tree inventory survey and data management. The advent of surveying technology such as, hand held laser, namely the MDL LaserAce®300 [4] that could measure distances, the difference in height and bearing automatically with reasonable accuracy. Integrating this equipment with computing technology towards automation in acquiring tree inventory seems feasible. In this study, the capability of the MDL LaserAce®300 towards acquiring tree inventory and Reduced Level (height) in an automated system is investigated. The accuracy of the horizontal and vertical position of points gathered will then be critically assessed with respect to forest application.

# METHODOLOGY

In this study, an in-house software known as Automated Spatial Survey Information System (ASSIST) that automates the process of acquiring tree inventory is developed using Visual Basic and C++ high level programming language [5]. This system utilized MDL LaserAce®300 as main hardware. Figure 1.0 shows main menu for ASSIST. Among the main modules incorporated within ASSIST software are shown in Figure. 1.



Fig 1. ASSIST Main Menu

Table 1 gives brief explanation on the associated modules within the software. There are eight (8) main modules with dedicated functions to ensure the process of acquiring tree information will be carried out with ease.

Figure 2, shows an example of the acquired survey stations coordinates from a tree inventory exercise using ASSIST. The information that will be reported includes, the traverse station number and the three-dimensional rectangular coordinates of a survey station (X,Y and Z). It should be pointed out that, in a tree inventory exercise using ASSIST, the first coordinate (X,Y,Z) for the starting Survey Station (Station 1) of the traverse loop should be known.

By knowing the coordinate of Survey Station 1, the coordinate of the next Survey Station (i.e. Station 2) of the traverse can be computed based on the recorded bearing and distance measured by the MDL LaserAce®300. After establishing Survey Station 2, the tree inventory parameter is collected at Survey Station 1.

No	Module	Purpose/Capability				
1	New Project	Creation of a new project, initialisation for the project is carried out				
		in this module.				
2	Open Project Open an existing project from the database.					
3	Capture data	Integration with the MDL LaserAce® 300. Tree inventory database will be created in this module.				
4	GUAI	Graphic User Advanced Interface (GUAI) for online viewing, help user to navigate in the rainforest and viewing of the tree inventory coverage.				
5	Computation	Standard surveying adjustments on surveyed points and tree positions.				
6	Report	Generation of survey report and tree inventory.				
7	Export	Export to GIS ready format (shape file).				
8	EXIT	Exit the software.				

ASSIST	laport - Windows Internet Explo	ana -		
- GE	Clifning an Piler (ASSESTING Hard			
	jen fyrates jok jok Gestif kepet	web Search - (Dy Booksanto+ 17 Sectory + )	Cha + Consterformat + ++ Hele	• Steam • ardu •
Project N Project A Project D	ame : Leseng3 rea : HSK Leseng ute : 2/12/2010			
No.	Station No	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Z Value
1.	1	577752.9267	311876.5236	100
2	2	577701.5857	311868.2082	91.7742
<b>3</b> .	3	577696.5318	311902.8515	93.6675
4.	4	577693.7006	311927 7007	91.227
3.	5	577692.9572	311956.091	90.8305
6.	6	577694.6061	311983.0506	90.312
7.	7	577692.5185	312014.4813	102.9385
8.	8	577692.4033	312036.491	111.2969
9.	9	577693.9459	312062.4552	117.6023
10	10	577697.0218	312098 3336	122 1768

Fig 2. Information for the traverse

This is done by measuring distances (horizontal, slope and difference in height) to the surrounding trees at a known height (Figure 3) using the MDL LaserAce®300 equipment. The diameter-at-breast height (*dbh*) is computed based on relaskop reading couple with the distance measured by MDL LaserAce®300 to the tree. The tree species are identified manually and chosen from the database, which is embedded within the ASSIST.

The procedure is then repeated on the next survey station until the survey process is completed. The process of traversing that forms a closed loop (start and end at the same survey station) is recommended.



Fig 3. Acquisition of tree inventory from an established survey station

Figure 4, depicts the attribute data for the tree inventory acquired using ASSIST and they include, the Survey Station (from which the coordinates of the tree is acquired), the computed coordinates for the tree position (X-Coordinate, Y-Coordinate), the Reduced Level of the tree position (Z-Value), the tree species, height, *dbh* and Volume (computed).

Referring to Figure 4, based on the computed reduced level (Z Value) of points collected during the tree inventory exercise, Digital Elevation Model for the area could be easily constructed. Fig 5 shows an example of a DEM constructed using the reduced level (Z Value) with the aid of ArcGIS software [6].

100	- Cittigen N	ler(ASSOT)(J.Mm	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					4 49	X I want to
	-	Real and							
. 10	Dan a Douger	Dve Geb	and least - Distantioned	er Dieter Diete	· Character for		diates -		
	1 martine and	-	Contraction of Contraction		Gunna	the street draws			
1 9K.	E ASSS Report	1.1						14	act at the
Project	Area : RSK Leson Date : 2/12/2010	•							
No.	Station No.	Tree Id	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Z Value	Species	Height	Dbb	Volume
No.	Station No 2	Tree Id	X Coordinate 577703.4139	Y Coordinate 311870.5994	Z Value 92.6291	Species Keist	Height 50	D64	Volume 18:442
No. 1 2	Station No 2 2	Tree Id	X Coordinate 577703-4139 577702-1998	Y Ceerdiaate 311870.5994 311873.0696	Z Value 92.6291 92.5305	Species Keist Keist	Height 50 30	064 85 50	Volume 18:442 3:829
No. 1 2 3	Station No 2 2 2	Tree Id 1 2 3	X Coordinate 577703 4139 577702 1998 577702 9019	Y Coordinate 311870 5994 311873 0696 311877 1215	Z Value 92.6291 92.5305 96.7054	Species Keist Keist Batangor	Height 50 30 30	D64 85 50 64	Volume 18.442 3.829 6.273
No. 1 2 3. 4.	Station Ne 2 2 2 2 2	Tree Id 1 2 3 4	X Coordinate 577703.4139 577702.1998 577702.9019 577700.98	Y Coordinate 311870.5994 311873.0696 311877.1215 311880.5934	Z Value 92 6291 92 5305 96 7054 96 9022	Species Kelat Kelat Biatangor Kapar	Height 50 30 30 40	D64 85 50 64 70	Volume 18.442 3.829 6.273 10.006
Na. 1 2 3 4 5	Station No 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tree Id 1 2 3 4 5	X Coordinate 577703.4139 577702.1998 577702.9019 577700.98 577710.4828	Y Coordinate 311870.5994 311873.0696 311877.1215 311880.5934 311880.5934	Z Value 92 6291 92 5305 96 7034 96 9022 97 5653	Species Keist Keist Batangor Kapar Kapar	Height 50 30 40 50	D64 85 50 64 70 84	Volume 18.442 3.829 6.273 10.006 18.011
Na. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Station No 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tree Id 1 2 3 4 5 6	X Coordinate 577703.4139 577702.1998 577702.9019 577700.98 577710.4828 577710.4828 577693.9627	V Ceerdinate 311870.5994 311873.0696 311877.1215 311880.5934 311886.1314 311874.6959	Z Value 92 6291 92 5305 96 7034 96 9022 97 5653 92 1799	Species Kelat Bintangor Kapar Kapar Kedondong	Height 50 30 30 40 50 30	D64 85 50 64 70 84 46	Volume 18 442 3 829 6 273 10.006 18 011 3 241
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Station No 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tree Id 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	X Coordinate 577703.4139 577702.1998 577702.9019 577700.98 577710.4828 577693.9627 577712.6147	Y Ceerdinate 311870.5994 311873.0696 311877.1215 311880.5934 311886.1314 311874.6959 311892.8639	Z Value 92 6291 92 5305 96 7034 96 9022 97 5653 92 1759 102 9676	Species Kelat Bintangor Kapar Kapar Kedondong Kapar	Height 50 30 30 40 50 30 30 50	D64 85 50 64 70 84 46 60	Volume 18.442 3.829 6.273 10.006 18.011 3.241 9.189
No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7. 8	Station No. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	Tree Id 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1	X Coordinate 577703.4139 577702.1998 577702.9019 577700.98 577710.4825 577693.9627 577712.6147 5777105.4168	V Coordinate 311870 5994 311873 0695 311877 1215 311880 5934 311886 1314 311886 1314 311874 6959 311892 5639 311892 5639	2 Value 92 6291 92 5305 96 7034 96 9022 97 5653 92 1759 102 9676 95 2526	Species Kelat Kelat Bintangor Kapur Kapur Kedondong Kapur Penih	Height 50 30 40 50 30 30 50 30 30	DMA 85 50 64 70 84 46 60 43	Volume 18 442 3 829 6 273 10.006 18 011 3 241 9 189 2 832

Fig 4. Tree Inventory



Fig 5. Constructed DEM using Reduced Level information

#### Instrument and Data

Table 2, shows the equipments used in this study, among the equipments used are Total Station (Topcon GTS 235N) and its accessories, which include tripod and reflector. The Total Station is used to acquire survey points with high accuracy and the points collected will act as the control during the assessment process. Apart from Total Station, the MDL LaserAce®300 (with pole and prism) was also used. MDL LaserAce®300 is the main equipment used in the tree inventory exercise where the points gathered using this equipment will be critically assessed compared to the point's collected using Total Station.

As mentioned, the coordinates of related points can be acquired via measurement made by MDL LaserAce®300. The accuracy of the observed coordinates (X,Y,Z) in supporting forest application need to be checked and determined. In this study, the datasets derived are evaluated based on two components, namely;

- i) Horizontal Accuracy, and
- ii) Vertical Accuracy

The datasets used to evaluate the horizontal accuracy is based on a closed traverse consisting of eight (8) survey station. The first set (control) survey is carried out using accurate surveying equipment consisting of a Total Station.

No	Instrument	General Description	Comments
1	Total Station (Topcon)	Angular Accuracy 1* Distance Accuracy .001m	Acquisition of survey points for traverse and tacheometric points to act as control for assessment
2	EDM Prism & Tripod	Mount the Total Station (Tripod) and for EDM reflector (Prism)	Part of Total Station accessories
3	LaserAce® 300	Angular Accuracy 0.1degree Distance Accuracy 0.1m	Acquisition of survey points for traverse and tacheometric points to be accessed its accuracy
4	Prism With Pole	Adjustable heights, act as LaserAce®300 reflector.	Reflector for MDL LaserAce®300

# **Table 2**.Major Equipments used in the study

The second dataset for the closed traverse was measured using the MDL LaserAce®300. Table 3, shows the dataset gathered using Total Station and MDL LaserAce®300.

		Total Station		MDL Laser			
Station		Bearing		Station		Bearing	
From	To	(Degree, Min & Sec)	Distance	From	To	(Degree, Min & Sec)	Distance
1	2	176 59 26	40.489	1	2	178 00 00	38.2
2	3	258 19 23	58.729	2	3	260 06 00	57.0
3	4	311 36 01	80.215	3	4	312 30 00	79.8
4	5	307 29 00	100.168	4	5	306 00 00	99.5
5	6	354 55 05	120.256	5	6	356 00 00	119.1
6	7	87 00 13	150.990	6	7	85 30 00	152.0
7	8	81 05 03	38.768	7	8	83 06 00	37.8
8	1	175 11 58	196.252	8	1	174 12 00	197.5

 Table 3. Bearing and distance between total survey station and MDL LaserAce®300

For the vertical accuracy assessment, an undulating area of approximately 200 x 200 meters with elevation varying from 95 to 102 meters above Mean Sea Level (MSL) inside a forest reserve compartment is chosen. The selected area represents a natural condition where tree inventory tasking was undertaken. Altogether 250 points were taken as samples and their coordinates (X,Y,Z) were measured and recorded using a Total Station instrument utilizing the Tacheometric Survey technique (act as control) [7]. Whilst another 235 points were gathered using the MDL LaserAce®30, by observing the tree trunk (middle) at approximately 1.5 meters above ground level. Fig 6 shows the distribution of those points across the study area with the point samples representing the Tacheometric and MDL LaserAce@300 respectively. Based on these 3-D point samples, the digital elevation models (DEMs) were generated for both acquired survey techniques using TIN Interpolation method. The digital elevation models are constructed based on the 3D coordinates gathered.



Fig 6. Points distribution acquired using total station and and MDL LaserAce@300

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The horizontal and vertical accuracy for the datasets gathered is analyzed quantitatively under the following headings;

#### **Horizontal Accuracy**

The accuracy (Linear Misclosed) [7] for both traverse, namely using the Total Station and MDL LaserAce@300 are first determined. Referring to Table 4, the accuracy (Linear Misclosed) for the traverse are 1: 55017 and 1:107 representing standard traversing using Total Station and MDL LaserAce®300 respectively. Since the accuracy for the standard traverse using Total Station exceed

1:8000 for the first class survey [7], the standard traverse will then act as a control in the assessment process.

	Total Station							MDL Laser				
Station		on Bearing				Stati	ion	Bearing				
From	То	(Degree, Min & Sec)	Distance	Latit	Depart	From	To	(Degree, Min & Sec)	Distance	Latit	Depart	
1	2	176 59 26	40.489	-40.433	2.126	1	2	178 00 00	38.2	-38.177	1.333	
2	3	258 19 23	58.729	-11.886	-57.514	2	3	260 06 00	57.0	-9.800	-56.151	
3	4	311 36 01	80.215	53.257	-59.984	3	4	312 30 00	79.8	53.912	-58.835	
4	5	307 29 00	100.168	60.955	-79.486	4	5	306 00 00	99.5	58,485	-80.497	
5	6	354 55 05	120.256	119.783	-10.652	5	6	356 00 00	119.1	118.810	-8.308	
6	7	87 00 13	150.990	7.893	150.784	6	7	85 30 00	152.0	11.926	151.531	
7	8	81 05 03	38.768	6.008	38.300	7	8	83 06 00	37.8	4.541	37.526	
8	1	175 11 58	196.252	-195.564	16.424	8	1	174 12 00	197.5	-196.489	19.959	
	S - 12	Total	785.867	0.014	-0.004		•	Total	785.9	3.208	6.558	
		Linear Misclosed		1: 55017		1		Linear Misclosed		1: 107		

Table	4.	Linear	Misclosed
-------	----	--------	-----------

Referring to Table 4, the *Latit* and *Depart* [7,8] component are computed based on Equation 1 and 2.

Figure 7 shows an example for the position of *Latit* and *Depart* on a surveyed line.

Latit = Distance \* Cos (Bearing)

[1]

[2]

Depart = Distance \* Sin (Bearing)

Referring to Fig 7, the *Latit* and *Depart* component for the surveyed line is in the North (+ve) and East (+ve) direction. Bearing is referred to the horizontal angle measured from reference North to the line of sight. In Fig 7, the line of sight is from Station 1 to Station 2.



Fig 7. Latit and Depart for a survey line

Adjustment on the *Latit* and *Depart* obtained (Table 5) was then carried out using the Bowditch Method [7,8] The coordinates for the traverse are then computed by assuming the first point coordinate as N1000, E1000 (Northing, Easting).The different in coordinates between established using Total Station and MDL LaserAce®300 in Northing and Easting are computed (Table 5). The Root Mean Square Error for the different in coordinate is then computed based on Equation 3.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla)^{2}\right]}$$

[3]

**RMSE** = Root Mean Square Error

*n* is the total number of points and *i* is the point number

V is the different in Northing (dN) or Easting (dE)

Doint	Coord Total S	Station	Coord MDI	_ Laser	dМ	dE
POIN	Northing	Easting	Northing	Easting	UN	üc
1	1000	1000	1000	1000		
2	959.566	1002.126	961.667	1001.012	-2.101	1.114
3	947.679	944.613	951.633	944.383	-3.954	0.230
4	1000.934	884.629	1005.218	884.877	-4.284	-0.248
5	1061.888	805.143	1063.295	803.545	-1.407	1.598
6	1181.669	794.491	1181.609	794.237	0.060	0.254
7	1189.559	945.275	1192.912	944.491	-3.353	0.784
8	1195.567	983.575	1197.298	981.7	-1.731	1.875
			RMSE	2.793	1.076	
				RMSE <sub>dNdE</sub>	1.1	.31

Table 5. Difference	ce in esta	blished co	ordinates
---------------------	------------	------------	-----------

Referring to Table 5, it is shown that using MDL LaserAce®300 for forest mapping seems feasible, the accuracy of the laser in establishing horizontal points is  $\pm 1.131$ m ( $RMSE_{dNdE}$ ). For tree inventory purposes, where the position of the tree should be established with less than  $\pm 5$  meter [9] of accuracy, MDL LaserAce®300 could play an important role towards forest inventory mapping.

#### Vertical Accuracy

The vertical accuracy is based on the different in height between the constructed DEMs (Grid size 2m) as shown on the Residual Surface (Figure 8) [10]. In this study, the error seems to occur in step slopes areas, and this might due to the uncertainty in the creation of the model due to the position and number of points gathered to represent the surface. However, further studies on the effect will be an ongoing research agenda.



Total Station DEM - MDL Laser DEM = Residual Surface

#### Fig 8. Residual surface

Table 6, shows the computed mean error for the residual surface. The mean is computed based on the total summation of the error with respect to grid count (occurrence) for the surveyed area.

Number of Grid Count	Error (Different) in meter	Total Error (Meter)		
38	0.5	19		
68	1.7	115.6		
43	2.2	94.6		
18	3.3	59.4		
8	4.3	34.4		
5	5.5	27.5		
		(350.5/180)		
<i>Total</i> = 180		<i>Mean Error</i> = 1.95 m		

Table 6. E	Error Count	of the	Residual	surface
------------	-------------	--------	----------	---------

It was known that, Digital Elevation Model (DEM) depicting the forest environment is of high value to a variety of forestry applications, such as designing of logging routes, biomass study and the simulation of flood plain studies. In this study, it was shown that, using MDL LaserAce®300, heights of points can be gathered with reasonable accuracy of less than ±2 meter [9]. In forestry applications, DEM constructed with less than ±5 meter accuracy is sufficient to support a diversity of forestry applications [9].

# CONCLUSION

Tree inventory is of high importance in managing forest activities. This study has depicted the capability of MDL LaserAce®300 for tree inventory exercise. It should be pointed out that, during a tree inventory exercise, the position of the tree needs to be determined, therefore, the accuracy of the instrument in used need to be assessed. It was found that the accuracy of the horizontal points (survey station) and the constructed DEM is less than ±2 meters by utilizing the MDL LaserAce®300. The findings from this study are adequate to support data collection for tree inventory and other forestry applications.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Computing facilities were made available by the Department of Surveying Science & Geomatics, Faculty of Architecture, Planning and Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA Malaysia, and the Research Management Institute, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia for the research grant.

# REFERENCES

[1] Abdul Hamid, J. R., Mather, P. M. and Hill, R. A., (2003). Mapping of conifer forest plantations using airborne hyperspectral and Lidar data, (Ed.). *Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium of the European Association of Remote Sensing Laboratories, Ghent, Belgium*, 2-5 June, Millpress.

[2] Nafisah Khalid, Juazer Rizal Abdul Hamid, Abdul Malek Mohd Noor & Zulkiflee Abd Latif. (2011). Synergistic Utilization of Multispectral and LiDAR Data for Tree Crown Delineation. *Proceeding of the* 10<sup>th</sup> International Symposium & Exhibition on Geoinformation 2011 (ISG & ISPRS 2011). Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

[3] Roslina, I., Zulkiflee A. L., and Jasmee, J., (2011), Accuracy Assessment of Elevation Models Derived from LiDAR, *International Symposium & Exhibition on Geoinformation 2011 (ISG & ISPRS 2011)*. Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia.

[4] MDL, (2011), Measurement Device Ltd., http://www.mdl.co.uk/,25 November 2011.

[5] Azman Ali, (2010), Tree Mapping System, *Unpublished MSc thesis*, (University Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Malaysia).

[6] ESRI, (1997), Using ArcView 3D Analyst, ESRI Inc, California, USA.

[7] Uren, J. and Price, W. F, (1994), Surveying for Engineers, (London: The Macmillan Press Ltd).

[8] Irvine, William, (1995), Surveying for Construction, McGraw-Hill International (UK) Limited. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition.

[9] KHALI, A., IBRAHIM, S. and NORIZAN, A. P., (2001), Tree mapping using DGPS as part of precision forestry practices, *Conference on Remote Sensing Technology & GIS in Forestry Application*, Malaysian Centre for Remote Sensing (MACRES), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

[10] Jaafar, J., (2000), An evaluation of the generation and potential applications of digital surface models, *Unpublished PhD thesis*, (The University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK).